# Similar Matrices By

#### Bellaouar Djamel



University 08 Mai 1945 Guelma

December 2020

<span id="page-0-0"></span> $299$ 

メロメ メ御き メミメ メミ

We will now introduce the notion of similarity.

#### Definition

Let A and B be two n-by-n matrices. We say that A is **similar to** B if there exists an invertible matrix P such that

$$
A = PBP^{-1}.
$$

In linear algebra, two n-by-n matrices A and B are called similar if  $A = PBP^{-1}$ for some invertible matrix P.

**Notation.** The notation  $A \sim B$  means that the matrix A is similar to the matrix B.

 $\Omega$ 

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K** 

Next, we give an example.

# Example (Example 2)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the two matrices given by

$$
A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -4 & 7 \\ 3 & 0 \end{array}\right), B = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 13 & -8 \\ 25 & -17 \end{array}\right).
$$

Then  $A$  is similar to  $B$  because for the matrix  $P=\emptyset$  $\left( \begin{array}{cc} 4 & -3 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$ , we have after few computation

$$
PBP^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc}4 & -3 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}13 & -8 \\ 25 & -17\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}-4 & 7 \\ 3 & 0\end{array}\right) = A.
$$

But, the question we ask here: How to find the invertible matrix  $P$  so that  $A = PBP^{-1}$ ? メロト メ御 トメ ヨ トメ ヨト

 $\Omega$ 

We have the following properties:

#### Theorem

Let A and B be two n-by-n similar matrices; i.e., there exists an invertible matrix  $P$  such that  $A = PBP^{-1}$ . Then

- 1. For each positive integer k,  $A^k = PB^kP^{-1}$ .
- 2.  $p_A(x) = p_B(x)$ , that is A and B have the same characteristic polynomial.

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト** 

### Proof.

Let us show the theorem as follows:

 $\bullet$  Assume that A and B are two similar matrices, and let P be an invertible matrix such that  $A = PBP^{-1}$ . For each integer  $k \geq 0$  we have

$$
A^{k} = \underbrace{\left(PBP^{-1}\right)\left(PBP^{-1}\right)\dots\left(PBP^{-1}\right)}_{k-\text{times}}
$$

$$
= P\underbrace{BB...B}_{k-\text{times}}P^{-1}
$$

$$
= PB^{k}P^{-1}.
$$

<sup>2</sup> We prove the following implication

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
A \sim B \Rightarrow p_A(x) = p_B(x). \tag{1}
$$

# Proof.

That is, if the matrices A and B are similar to each other, then A and B have the same characteristic equation, and hence have the same eigenvalues. In fact, we have

<span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
p_A(x) = \det(A - xI)
$$
  
=  $\det(PBP^{-1} - xPP^{-1})$ , since  $PP^{-1} = Ix \in \mathbb{R}$   
=  $\det(P(B - xI)P^{-1})$ , since  $x \in \mathbb{R}$   
=  $\det(P) \det(B - xI) \det(P^{-1})$  (2)  
=  $\det(B - xI)$  (3)  
=  $p_B(x)$ .

Note that the passage from [\(2\)](#page-5-0) to [\(3\)](#page-5-1) because det  $(P^{-1}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{\lambda}}$  $\frac{1}{\det(P)}$ . The proof is finished.

Remark. The converse of [\(1\)](#page-4-0) is false. For example, for

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&1\\0&1\end{array}\right)\text{ and } \mathcal{B}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&0\\0&1\end{array}\right)=\mathit{I}_2
$$

We see that  $p_A(x) = p_B(x)$ . Therefore,  $Sp(A) = Sp(B) = \{1\}$  and det  $(A) =$  det  $(B)$ . Further, if A is similar to B then there exists an invertible matrix  $P$  such that

$$
A = PBP^{-1} = Pl_2P^{-1} = l_2.
$$

A contradiction since  $A \neq I_2$ . Thus, A is not similar to B (we denote  $A \nsim B$ ). Conclusion: We can also write

$$
\begin{cases}\nSp(A) = Sp(B) \nRightarrow A \sim B, \\
p_A(x) = p_B(x) \nRightarrow A \sim B, \\
\det(A) = \det(B) \nRightarrow A \sim B.\n\end{cases}
$$

メロメ メ都 メメ ミメ メミメ

## Remark

By applying the following rule:

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\det (A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 \in Sp(A). \tag{4}
$$

Let A and B be two similar matrices, i.e., there exists an invertible matrix P such that  $A = PBP^{-1}$ . We can also prove that  $Sp(A) = Sp(B)$ . Let  $\lambda \in Sp(A)$ , there exists a nonzero vector x tel que  $Ax = \lambda x$ . That is,

$$
(A - \lambda I) x = 0 = 0.x
$$

Which gives  $0 \in Sp(A - \lambda I)$ . On the other hand, we have

$$
A - \lambda I = P(B - \lambda I) P^{-1}.
$$
 (5)

 $\Omega$ 

<span id="page-7-1"></span>イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

#### Remark

Asssume that  $0 \notin Sp (B - \lambda I)$ . By [\(4\)](#page-7-0) and [\(5\)](#page-7-1) we have  $B - \lambda I \in GL_n (\mathbb{R})$ . Consequently,  $A - \lambda I \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ . From [\(4\)](#page-7-0),  $0 \notin Sp(A - \lambda I)$ . A contradiction. Finally, we deduce that  $0 \in Sp (B - \lambda I)$ , and hence  $\lambda \in Sp (B)$ . Thus,  $Sp(A) \subset Sp(B)$ .

 $\Omega$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イ

# **Corollary**

Two similar matrices A and B have the same determinant.

# Proof.

Let  $P$  be an invertible matrix  $P$  such that  $A = PBP^{-1}.$  It follows that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(PBP^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(P\right)\operatorname{det}\left(B\right)\operatorname{det}\left(P^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(B\right),
$$

and so det  $(A) =$  det  $(B)$ . This completes the proof.

 $209$ 

K ロ > K @ > K 경 > K 경

#### Example

Consider the following two matrices:

$$
A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{array} \right) \text{ and } B = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 5 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 \end{array} \right).
$$

How can we tell (rather quickly) that the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are not similar to each other?

In fact,  $A \nsim B$  because det  $(A) = -1 \neq det(B) = -3$ . Thus, we have the result:

$$
\det (A) \neq \det (B) \Rightarrow A \sim B.
$$

**K ロ ⊁ K 倒 ≯ K 差 ≯ K** 

 $299$ 

#### Theorem

The relation  $" \sim "$  similarity is an equivalence relation.

# Proof.

This relation is what we call an equivalence relation, because we have the following three properties:

1. The relation "  $\sim$  " is reflexive, because for each matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$
A=I_nAI_n^{-1}.
$$

Then  $A \sim A$ .

2. The relation "  $\sim$  " is symmetric, because for all matrices  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$
A \sim B \Rightarrow \exists P \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } A = PBP^{-1}.
$$

**KORK KORK KORK** 

### Proof.

Thus,  $B \sim A$  (i.e., we can just say that A and B are similar to each other). For the matrices  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $P$  of Example [2,](#page-2-0) verify by direct computation that  $A = PBP^{-1}$  and that  $B = P^{-1}AP$ .

3. The relation "  $\sim$  " is transitive, because for all matrices A, B, C  $\in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{c} A \sim B \\ B \sim C \end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \exists P \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } A = PBP^{-1}, \\ \exists Q \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } B = QCQ^{-1}. \end{cases}
$$

Which gives

$$
A = P(QCQ^{-1}) P^{-1} = (PQ) C (PQ)^{-1} = RCR^{-1} \text{ with } R \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}).
$$

Hence,  $A \sim C$ .

# Proposition

Let  $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Define the mapping  $T_P$  by:  $T_P : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $A \mapsto T_P(A) = P^{-1}AP$ . Then the following statements hold:  $T_P (I_n) = I_n$ **2**  $T_P(A+B) = T_P(A) + T_P(B)$  $T_P (AB) = T_P (A) T_P (B)$  $\Phi T_P(rA) = rT_P(A)$  $\mathbf{J}_{P}\left(A^{k}\right)=\left(\mathit{T}_{P}\left(A\right)\right)^{k}$  $\qquad \qquad \bullet \ \ \textit{Tr}\,\left(A^{-1}\right)=\left(\textit{Tr}\,\left(A\right)\right)^{-1}$  $\mathcal{T}_P\left(e^A\right)=e^{\mathcal{T}_P\left(A\right)}$  $\bullet$   $T_Q$   $(T_P(A)) = T_{PQ}(A)$ .

 $\Omega$ 

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト** 

# Similar matrices

Results and Examples

# Proof.

We have

\n- **①** In fact, 
$$
T_P(I_n) = P^{-1}I_nP = P^{-1}P = I_n
$$
.
\n- **②**  $T_P(A + B) = P^{-1}(A + B)P = P^{-1}AP + P^{-1}BP = T_P(A) + T_P(B)$ .
\n- **④**  $T_P(AB) = P^{-1}ABP = P^{-1}APP^{-1}BP = (P^{-1}AP)(P^{-1}BP) = T_P(A)T_P(B)$ .
\n- **④**  $T_P(rA) = P^{-1}(rA)P = r(P^{-1}AP) = rT_P(A)$ .
\n- **④**  $T_P(A^k) = P^{-1}A^kP = (P^{-1}AP)^k = (T_P(A))^k$ .
\n- **③**  $T_P(A^{-1}) = P^{-1}A^{-1}P = (P^{-1}AP)^{-1} = (T_P(A))^{-1}$ .
\n- **①**  $T_P(e^A) = P^{-1}e^AP = e^{P^{-1}AP} = e^{T_P(A)}$ .
\n- **④** It is clear that  $T_Q(T_P(A)) = Q^{-1}T_P(A)Q = Q^{-1}T_P(A)$ .
\n- **②** It is clear that  $T_Q(T_P(A)) = Q^{-1}T_P(A)Q$  is complex.
\n- **④**  $T_Q^{-1}(P^{-1}AP)Q = (PQ)^{-1}A(PQ) = T_{PQ}(A)$ . This completes the proof.
\n

**Remark.** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $A \sim B$ , then

$$
A\in \mathbb{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})\Leftrightarrow B\in \mathbb{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}).
$$

In fact, we have  $A = PBP^{-1} \Leftrightarrow B = P^{-1}AP$ . **Conclusion.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $B = P^{-1}AP \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  be a matrix similar to A. Then A and B have the same characteristic polynomial. Furthermore,  $q(A) = Pq(B)P^{-1}$  for each  $q \in K[X]$ , and in particular  $A^k = PB^kP^{-1}$  for  $k \geq 1$ .

**Corollary** 

Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . If A and B are similar, then  $Tr(A) = Tr(B)$ .

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K** 

# Similar matrices

Results and Examples

# Proof.

We know that

$$
\forall M, N \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) : Tr(MN) = Tr(NM).
$$

Then

$$
Tr (A) = Tr (PBP^{-1}) = Tr (BPP^{-1}) = Tr (B).
$$

# **Corollary**

Two similar matrix have the same rank.

# Proof.

Assume that  $A = P B P^{-1}$  for some invertible square matrix  $P.$  We have  $\mathsf{rk}\,(B) \geq \mathsf{rk}\,\big(\mathsf{P}\mathsf{B}\mathsf{P}^{-1}\big) = \mathsf{rk}\,(A).$  Now note that  $B = \mathsf{P}^{-1} A \mathsf{P},$  so we similarily get  $rk(A) \geq rk(P^{-1}AP) = rk(B).$ 

Conclusion. Two similar matrices have the same determinant, same trace, same rank, same characteristic polynomial, same eigenvalues. On the other hand, we have the following absolutely remarkable result.

#### Theorem

In dimension 2 and 3, two matrices are similar iff they have the same minimal polynomial and the same characteristic polynomial.

 $\Omega$ 

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K 目** 

Ex  ${\bf 01.}$  Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two similar matrices, i.e., there exists an invertible matrix  $P$ such that  $A = PBP^{-1}$ . Prove that

$$
(\lambda, x) \text{ is an eigenpair of } A \Rightarrow \left(\lambda, P^{-1}x\right) \text{ is an eigenpair of } B.
$$

Ex 02. Let  $A, B, M_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + ... + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree n. Prove that

$$
A \sim B \Rightarrow f(A) \sim f(B).
$$

Ex 03. Consider the two matrices:

$$
A = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{array}\right) \text{ et } B = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{array}\right).
$$

Prove that  $A \sim B$ ; i.e., A and B are not similar.

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K** 

 $299$ 

Ex 04. Show that

$$
A - \lambda I_n \sim B \Rightarrow A \sim B + \lambda_n I.
$$

 $Ex$  05. Using two methods. Prove that similar matrices have the same eigenvalues. Ex 06. Prove that

$$
A \sim B \Rightarrow e^A \sim e^B.
$$

Ex 07. Without calculating, neither eigenvalues nor eigenvectors, show that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{array}\right) \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array}\right).
$$

Ex 08. Show by direct computation that the matrices A and B of Example [2](#page-2-0) have the same characteristic equation. What are the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $B$ ?

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \square & \rightarrow & \left\{ \bigcap \mathbb{P} \right\} & \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \square & \rightarrow & \left\{ \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right.$ 

<span id="page-19-0"></span> $QQ$